Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/652,861	BUSCH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER A. FLORY	3762
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>CHRISTOPHER A. FLORY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Muzilla (50914)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 May 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Limousin (US 5,514,161)		
Claims discussed: 1-16		
Prior art documents discussed: Limousin (US 5,514,161)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A)	oplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/652,861

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Applicant in order to propose language to amend independent claim 1 so as to overcome the applied rejection and potentially place the claims in condition for allowance. It is noted that the arguments presented by the Applicant in the Appeal Brief of 4 September 2007 relate primarily to the interatrial conduction time as an artificial parameter set within the device rather than the natural or biological interatrial conduction time as the term is conventionally used and which is relied on for the Limousin rejection. The Applicant agreed to the proposed language as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment..